

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,780	01/02/2004	Dong-Seok Ham	2522-048	1506	
20575 7.	590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M		
PORTLAND,	_	, 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				∨			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/750,780	HAM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frank M. Lawrence	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	,			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INSTRUCTION OF THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become AB	CATION.  pply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communicat  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status							
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		is action is non-final.					
3)	·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5)🖂	Claim(s) 16-24 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,10-13,25,26,31-33,35 and 36</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	7) Claim(s) 4,6-9,14,15,27-30,34 and 37-39 is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 02 January 2004 is/are		pjected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	<b>∣(d)</b> .			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	2)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		and a				
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
		•					
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		/Mail Date  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date (2).	6)  Other:					
C Dotant and T	-dad-06						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/750,780 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muraoka (5,772,738).
- 3. Muraoka '738 teaches a multifunctional air filter comprising a first fabric filter (4) including activated carbon, and at least one second fabric filter including activated carbon having potassium permanganate attached (col. 2, lines 38-57, col. 5, lines 22-62, col. 6, lines 28-34). The filter is used for removing contaminants such as ozone and nitrogen dioxide from an air stream, and an embodiment having two second fabric filters will anticipate the filter of instant claim 1 with the layers inherently capable of adsorbing ozone and nitrogen oxides from a gas.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 25, 26, 31-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stemmer et al. (6,156,089).
- 5. Stemmer et al. '089 teach a two-stage air filter comprising three layers (422, 424, 250) that can include a non-woven fabric impregnated with activated carbon and having a chemical agent such as potassium permanganate attached (see figure 7, col. 4, lines 15-46, col. 6, line 41 to col. 7, line 10). The filter is enclosed in a tray having upper and lower rails that are connected to form a frame, wherein the filter layers are sandwiched between mesh layers (208, 210) and arranged within the lower rail (see figures 2, 7, col. 3, lines 1-14). The three layer embodiment

Application/Control Number: 10/750,780 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

anticipates the instantly claimed filter because the layers are inherently capable of adsorbing ozone and nitrogen oxides from a gas stream.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 10-13 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stemmer et al. '089.
- 8. Stemmer et al. '089 disclose all of the limitations of the claims except that the impregnating agent is present in a specific weight percent range, that the layers have specified relative thicknesses, and that the impregnating agent is applied by immersing. Absent a proper showing of criticality or unexpected results, the amount of impregnate used and the thickness of the filter layers are considered to be parameters that would have been routinely optimized by one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in order to achieve a desired level of air purification based on the level of contamination and flow conditions. Also, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use an impregnating technique such as immersion, spraying, or other well known coating technique based on cost and availability of the impregnating methods.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 16-24 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,780 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest a chemical filter medium including a first layer including a filtering base neutral material and a catalyst for reducing ozone impregnated in the material, a second layer formed on the first layer and including a filtering base oxide material and a catalyst for decomposing and removing nitrogen oxide impregnated in the oxide material, and a third layer formed on the second layer and including a filtering base neutral material and a catalyst for reducing ozone impregnated in the filtering base neutral material.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

11. Claims 4, 6-9, 14, 15, 27-30, 34 and 37-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose multi-layer gas filters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,780

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank M. Lawrence **Primary Examiner** 

Page 5

Art Unit 1724

fl

Track faurence 1-9-06